IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION NO. 5:14-CV-34-F

```
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
             Plaintiff,
                           ORDER)
         v.
LAND ROVER VEHICLES,
SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AS:
A 1988 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHAB7BA324873;
A 1984 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAB7AA291556;
A 1984 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAB7AA275624;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAD7AA281708;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMB7EA324692;
A 1983 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHAG7AA193948;
A 1986 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHAD7BA260816;
A 2000 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHAG7AA193941;
A 1986 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHHB7BA285727;
A 1986 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAV7AA295261;
A 1986 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAB7AA310895;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMB7BA300302;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMV7EA336425;
A 2000 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHAG7AA189401;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMB7EA322818;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
```

1

```
VIN: SALLDHMB7EA327618;
A 2000 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMH7AA184735;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMC7BA258911;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMB7BA275080;
A 2000 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHHV1AA199927;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHAV7BA246833;
A 1984 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAC8AA264271; )
A 1984 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAD7AA253941;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAC7AA229260;
A 1984 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAC7AA247548;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHAD7BA248040;
A 1984 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAH7AA235483;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHHV7BA241033;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMVBA236398;
A 1984 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAB7AA275628;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMV7BA301364;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMV7EA323114;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMV7BA313116;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMB7EA318298;
A 1987 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMB7EA317320;
A 1985 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMV7BA308663;
A 1986 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDHMB7BA283341:
A 1984 LAND ROVER
VIN: SALLDVAB7AA293453;
```

```
A 1984 LAND ROVER

VIN: SALLDVAC7AA244441;

A 2000 LAND ROVER

VIN: SALLDHAG777197707

AND ANY AND ALL ATTACHMENTS

THEREON; AND ANY AND ALL

PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF SAID

PROPERTY,

Defendants.
```

Upon consideration of the verified Amended Complaint for Forfeiture In Rem filed April ___, 2014, I am satisfied that the facts contained therein establish probable cause for the seizure of the defendants for forfeiture pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1595a(c)(2)(A). It is, therefore,

ORDERED that the Warrant of Arrest and Notice In Rem issue regarding the defendants. The issuance of the Warrant does not alter the burden on the United States to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendants are subject to forfeiture nor, having established that, the burden on any claimant of the property to demonstrate by a preponderance of

the	evidence	any	affirmative	defense	provided	by	the	applicable
stat	tute.							

SO ORDERED.

This ___ day of _____, 2014.

JAMES C. FOX
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE